

Financing Greentech: the role of equity, innovation and clean industrial transition

Ballroom – Level 2

11:15 – 12:45



FI Campus 2025

Financing Greentech: the role of equity, innovation and clean industrial transition

Moderator: Ando Siitam

Senior Advisor, European Investment Bank

Salome Gvetadze

Senior Research Officer, European Investment Fund

Jade Salhab

Senior Private Sector Specialist, World Bank

Corinne Uppman-Helminen

Teamleader Financial Instruments, Swedish Agency for Economic and Regional Growth (Tillväxtverket)

Sille Pettai

CEO, SmartCap

Isabelle Canu

Partner, GET Fund

Jan Ossenbrink

CEO, VAMO



FI Campus 2025

Financing Greentech: the role of equity, innovation and clean industrial transition

Salome Gvetadze

Senior Research Officer

European Investment Fund

Financing Greentech: the role of equity, innovation and clean industrial transition



FI Campus 2025 - 27 November, Brussels

Salome Gvetadze
Senior Research Officer

European Investment Fund



Mapping the European Cleantech sector

Technological categories



Cleantech Survey


Main difficulties faced entering the cleantech sector



VC and Cleantech innovation

Venture Capital (VC) impact on cleantech growth

-
- +8 % in total assets,
 - +8 % in employment
 - ~ in sales



-
- +9 % in sales
 - ~ in total assets
 - ~ in employment



EIF in 2024

Summary of climate action and environmental sustainability (CA&ES) financing


CA&ES – 43% of overall financing

Equity


Debt

Committed
€ 6.1bn

Mobilised
€ 55.2bn




Greentech investments
€ 583m



TechEU supporting innovation including cleantech

€70 bn in EIF/EIB debt and equity financing, mobilising € 250bn by 2027

Follow our stories and work




Salome Gvetadze
Senior Research Officer
Research and Market
analysis
s.gvetadze@eif.org



**European
Investment Fund**
37B avenue J.F.
Kennedy

L-2968 Luxembourg
Phone: +352 24851



FI *Campus 2025*

Thank you



FI Campus 2025

Financing Greentech: the role of equity, innovation and clean industrial transition

Jade Salhab

Senior Private Sector Specialist

World Bank

Promoting Energy Efficiency and Productivity of EU firms

Insights from ongoing World Bank study on Poland and Romania and other evidence from regional analysis

27 November 2025




Key messages...


1. **Energy efficiency and productivity are strongly correlated**, showing climate objectives align with competitiveness
2. **Improvements in firm-level efficiency are key drivers of reductions in CO2 emissions** (more than fuel-switching by said firms)
3. **But market dynamics are *not* always rewarding more energy-efficient firms...**
4. **...and private (financial) returns to energy efficiency improvement are small compared to public gains (except for energy-intensive sectors), so public intervention is warranted.**

Firm-level data shows there is no trade-off between greening the economy and competitiveness

Energy efficiency is positively correlated across sectors with productivity




Green technology investment positively correlated with productivity




...and this applies across the EU

Energy efficiency is positively correlated with productivity

Poland



Romania



Notes: Energy quantity efficiency and value added per worker are expressed in logarithms (ln). Binned scatter plot between the energy quantity efficiency (deflated sales / Gigajoules). The regression controls for 3-digit industry of NACE Rev. 2 and geographic (NUTS2) fixed effects, year effects, size (SME dummy variable), and age class.

Source: World Bank's calculations based on the Energy Surveys and Structural Business Surveys from Statistics Poland (GUS) and Institute of National Statistics of Romania (INS).


Sector energy intensity has contributed to reduction, while scale effects and market functioning (in Romania) increased energy consumption

Energy Decomposition: Factors driving energy consumption changes, economy-wide

Cumulative changes relative to initial year = 0

Poland (2009-2021)



Romania (2010-2022)



Notes: Sectors included: Manufacturing (C), Construction (F) and Services (G-N, except K-Financial Services; P-S, except Q88 – Social Work).
Source: World Bank elaboration based on Energy Surveys and Structural Business Surveys from Statistics Poland (GUS) and Institute of National Statistics of Romania (INS).

Private (financial) returns to energy efficiency improvement are small compared to public gains

Estimated impact of efficiency improvements if below-median efficient firms moved to the median efficiency in their sub-sector



Thank you very much for your attention

Please check out our recently launched productivity report on Europe and Central Asia with a specific chapter on energy efficiency/ green technologies:



Jade Salhab
Senior Private Sector Specialist
Finance, Competitiveness and
Investment
Europe and Central Asia
World Bank Group


jsalhab@worldbank.org




Focus on manufacturing, services, construction and commerce given their importance in terms of firms, value added and employment

Distribution of firms, employment, value added, energy consumption and CO2 emissions by sector group
As % of the total of each variable

Poland



Romania



Notes: The following sectors are excluded from the analyses: Crop & Animal Production (A01); Forestry (A02); Financial and Insurance act. (K); Public Administration and defense (O); Social work act. (Q88).
Source: World Bank elaboration based on Energy Surveys from Statistics Poland (GUS) and Institute of National Statistics of Romania (INS).



CO2 efficiency gains stemmed from better energy use, not cleaner fuels (source dependency is high)

Decomposing carbon efficiency: more energy efficient firms or greener energy sources?

$$\frac{Output_t}{CO2_t} = \frac{Output_t}{Energy_t} \times \frac{Energy_t}{CO2_t}$$

Poland

Romania




Notes: Sectors included: Manufacturing (C), Construction (F) and Services (G-N, except K-Financial Services; P-S, except Q88 – Social Work).
Source: World Bank elaboration based on Energy Surveys and Structural Business Surveys from Statistics Poland (GUS) and Institute of National Statistics of Romania (INS).

Mature firms made greater intensity reductions than young in Romania; in Poland lower intensity was driven by large firms

Energy Decomposition: Factors driving energy consumption changes by age-size class

Cumulative changes relative to initial year = 0



Notes: Sectors included: Manufacturing (C), Construction (F) and Services (G-N, except K-Financial Services; P-S, except Q88 – Social Work).
Source: World Bank elaboration based on Energy Surveys and Structural Business Surveys from Statistics Poland (GUS) and Institute of National Statistics of Romania (INS).



FDI and the private sector are crucial for reducing energy intensity, with stronger differences in Romania

Energy Decomposition: Factors driving energy consumption changes by ownership

Cumulative changes relative to initial year = 0

Poland

Romania



■ Scale term
■ Structural Transformation
■ Average sector intensity effect
■ Market reallocation
◇ Change in outcome

Notes: Sectors included: Manufacturing (C), Construction (F) and Services (G-N, except K-Financial Services; P-S, except Q88 – Social Work).

Source: World Bank elaboration based on Energy Surveys and Structural Business Surveys from Statistics Poland (GUS) and Institute of National Statistics of Romania (INS).



FI Campus 2025

Thank you

www.fi-compass.eu

Follow us:    



fi-compass is provided by the European Commission in partnership with the European Investment Bank
Copyright © European Investment Bank 2025
events@fi-compass.eu | www.fi-compass.eu